## INSTRUCTIONS

This is your exam. Complete it either at exam.cs61a.org or, if that doesn't work, by emailing course staff with your solutions before the exam deadline.

This exam is intended for the student with email address <EMAILADDRESS>. If this is not your email address, notify course staff immediately, as each exam is different. Do not distribute this exam PDF even after the exam ends, as some students may be taking the exam in a different time zone.

For questions with circular bubbles, you should select exactly one choice.You must choose either this optionOr this one, but not both!
For questions with square checkboxes, you may select multiple choices.You could select this choice.You could select this one too!
You may start your exam now. Your exam is due at $<$ DEADLINE $>$ Pacific Time. Go to the next page to begin.

## Preliminaries

You can complete and submit these questions before the exam starts.
(a) What is your full name?
$\square$
(b) What is your Berkeley email?
$\square$
(c) What is your student ID number?
$\square$
(d) When are you taking this exam?Tuesday 7pm PSTWednesday 8am PSTOther
(e) Honor Code: All work on this exam is my own.

By writing your full name below, you are agreeing to this code:

(f) Important: You must copy the following statement exactly into the box below. Failure to do so may result in points deducted on the exam.
"I certify that all work on this exam is my own. I acknowledge that collaboration of any kind is forbidden, and that I will face severe penalties if I am caught, including at minimum, harsh penalties to my grade and a letter sent to the Center for Student Conduct."
$\square$

## 1. (a) (9.0 points)

Consider sampling students from the audience of a comedy show at UC Berkeley. The theater, which is currently at full capacity, is divided into three sections: Front, Middle, and Back. The following table contains the capacity of each section:

| Section | Capacity |
| :---: | :---: |
| Front | 20 |
| Middle | 35 |
| Back | 25 |

In the first two subparts of this question, we sample 5 students uniformly at random with replacement.
Ai. ( 1.0 pt ) In our sample of 5 students, what is the expected number of students sitting in the middle?
$\bigcirc \frac{5}{4}$

- $\frac{35}{16}$
$\bigcirc \frac{7}{16}$
$\bigcirc \frac{25}{16}$None of the above
B. $(2.0 \mathrm{pt})$ In our sample of 5 students, what is the probability that everyone is not in the same section? Select all that apply.$\sum_{i=0}^{5}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{i}\left(\frac{5}{16}\right)^{i}\left(\frac{7}{16}\right)^{i}$$\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{5}\left(\frac{5}{16}\right)^{5}\left(\frac{7}{16}\right)^{5}$
- $1-\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{5}-\left(\frac{5}{16}\right)^{5}-\left(\frac{7}{16}\right)^{5}$$1-\sum_{i=0}^{5}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{i}\left(\frac{5}{16}\right)^{5-i}\left(\frac{7}{16}\right)^{5-i}$None of the above
ii. Consider the population of UC Berkeley students. We are interested in finding the expectation and variance of the number of students that have a driver's license in a sample from this population. We are given the following information:
- $70 \%$ of students are in-state and $30 \%$ of students are out-of-state
- $60 \%$ of in-state students have driver's licenses and $30 \%$ of out-of-state students have driver's licenses

We sample 120 students uniformly at random with replacement.
A. (2.0 pt) Define the random variable $X_{i}$ to be 1 if the $i$ th student in our sample has a driver's license, and 0 otherwise.

What is $P\left(X_{i}=1\right)$ ? Please answer as a decimal rounded to two decimal places.

$$
0.7 \cdot 0.6+0.3 \cdot 0.3=0.51
$$

B. (1.0 pt) How many students do we expect to hold a driver's license in our sample? Your answer should be an algebraic expression involving prevletter, where prevletter is the correct answer to the previous part.

```
120p, where p= prevletter.
```

C. (1.0 pt) What is the variance of the number of students that hold a driver's license in our sample? Again, your answer should be an algebraic expression involving prevletter, as defined above.
$120 p(1-p)$, where $p=$ prevletter.
D. (2.0 pt) In the previous two parts, we assumed that we were sampling with replacement. How would your answers to the above two parts change if we were instead sampling without replacement?Expectation and variance would both stay the sameExpectation and variance would both be different

- Expectation would stay the same while variance would be differentExpectation would be different while the variance would stay the same


## (9.0 points)

Consider sampling students from the audience of a comedy show at UC Berkeley. The theater, which is currently at full capacity, is divided into three sections: Front, Middle, and Back. The following table contains the capacity of each section:

| Section | Capacity |
| :---: | :---: |
| Front | 35 |
| Middle | 20 |
| Back | 25 |

In the first two subparts of this question, we sample 5 students uniformly at random with replacement.
(b) Ai. (1.0 pt) In our sample of 5 students, what is the expected number of students sitting in the middle?
$\bigcirc \frac{9}{4}$

- $\frac{5}{4}$$\frac{35}{16}$
○ $\frac{25}{16}$None of the above
B. (2.0 pt) In our sample of 5 students, what is the probability that everyone is not in the same section? Select all that apply.$\sum_{i=0}^{5}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{i}\left(\frac{5}{16}\right)^{i}\left(\frac{7}{16}\right)^{i}$$\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{5}\left(\frac{5}{16}\right)^{5}\left(\frac{7}{16}\right)^{5}$
$\square 1-\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{5}-\left(\frac{5}{16}\right)^{5}-\left(\frac{7}{16}\right)^{5}$$1-\sum_{i=0}^{5}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{i}\left(\frac{5}{16}\right)^{5-i}\left(\frac{7}{16}\right)^{5-i}$None of the above
ii. Consider the population of UC Berkeley students. We are interested in finding the expectation and variance of the number of students that have a driver's license in a sample from this population. We are given the following information:
- $30 \%$ of students are in-state and $70 \%$ of students are out-of-state
- $20 \%$ of in-state students have driver's licenses and $80 \%$ of out-of-state students have driver's licenses

We sample 150 students uniformly at random with replacement.
A. (2.0 pt) Define the random variable $X_{i}$ to be 1 if the $i$ th student in our sample has a driver's license, and 0 otherwise.

What is $P\left(X_{i}=1\right)$ ? Please answer as a decimal rounded to two decimal places.

$$
0.3 \cdot 0.2+0.7 \cdot 0.8=0.62
$$

B. (1.0 pt) How many students do we expect to hold a driver's license in our sample? Your answer should be an algebraic expression involving prevletter, where prevletter is the correct answer to the previous part.

```
150p, where p= prevletter.
```

C. (1.0 pt) What is the variance of the number of students that hold a driver's license in our sample? Again, your answer should be an algebraic expression involving prevletter, as defined above.
$150 p(1-p)$, where $p=$ prevletter.
D. (2.0 pt) In the previous two parts, we assumed that we were sampling with replacement. How would your answers to the above two parts change if we were instead sampling without replacement?Expectation and variance would both stay the sameExpectation and variance would both be different

- Expectation would stay the same while variance would be differentExpectation would be different while the variance would stay the same


## 2. (6.0 points)

Throughout this question, we are dealing with pandas DataFrame and Series objects. All code for this question, where applicable, must be written in Python. You may assume that pandas has been imported as pd.
The following DataFrame cars contains the names of car models from 1970 to 1982. The name column is the primary key of the table.
The first five rows are shown below.

| name | mpg | horsepower | weight | acceleration | year | origin | brand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| toyota corolla 1200 | 32.0 | 65 | 1836 | 21.0 | 1974 | Japan | toyota |
| buick skylark 320 | 15.0 | 165 | 3693 | 11.5 | 1970 | USA | buick |
| fiat 128 | 29.0 | 49 | 1867 | 19.5 | 1973 | Europe | fiat |
| ford mustang gl | 27.0 | 86 | 2790 | 15.6 | 1982 | USA | ford |
| ford torino | 17.0 | 140 | 3449 | 10.5 | 1970 | USA | ford |

(a) (2.0 pt) Below, write a line of Pandas code that creates a Series of the names of cars created by brand "carbrand" with greater than mpgnum mpg. The resulting Series should be assigned to the variable varname.

```
varname = cars[(cars["brand"]=="carbrand") & (cars["mpg"] > mpgnum)]["name"]
```

(b) (4.0 pt) Below, write a line of Pandas code to create a DataFrame containing data only for those car models whose brands have at least mpgnum2 mpg for each of their models. The resulting DataFrame must have the same structure and format as cars. The resulting DataFrame should be assigned to the variable varname2.

```
varname2 = cars.groupby("brand").filter(lambda x: min(x["mpg"]) >= mpgnum2)
```


## 3. (8.0 points)

In this question, we're interested in finding the number of classes taken by students at Zoom University. We will be working with two DataFrames, students and enrollment. Throughout this question, you may assume that pandas has been imported as pd.
Each row in the students DataFrame represents a student. The students DataFrame contains the following columns:

- student_name: the student's name
- SID: the student's ID
- major: the student's major

Here are the first four rows in students:

|  | student_name | SID | major |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | Alice Red | 123 | Computer Science |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Bob Lime | 128 | Biology |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Susie Orange | 209 | Anthropology |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Frank Blue | 212 | History |

Each row in the enrollment DataFrame represents an enrollment record for a specific student in a single class. If a student is enrolled in multiple classes, each class taken by the student is a separate row in enrollment. The enrollment DataFrame contains the following columns:

- SID: the student's ID
- class_name: the name of the class the student is enrolled in
- class_id: the ID of the class

Here are the first five rows in enrollment:

|  | SID | class_name | class_id |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 123 | Intro to Data Science | 200 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 128 | Organic Chemistry | 145 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 128 | Intro to Data Science | 100 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 209 | US History | 185 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 212 | US History | 185 |

Note: It is possible for rows with different class_id to share the same class_name in the enrollment DataFrame. For example, there is an "Intro to Data Science" with class_id 100 and another "Intro to Data Science" with class_id 200.
(a) (4.0 pt) Suppose you are asked to add a column num_class to the students DataFrame that indicates the number of classes each student is enrolled in. If a student does not have any enrollment records, they should have a value of 0 in num_class. You are allowed to change the index of students, but the number of rows should stay the same after adding the column, and the name and major columns should be kept the same.

Which of the following accomplishes this task? There is only one correct answer.
A:

```
num_class = students.merge(enrollment, left_on='student_name', right_on='class_name', how='right')
    .groupby('SID').count()
num_class = num_class.drop(columns=['class_name', 'student_name', 'major'])
num_class = num_class.rename(columns={'class_id': 'num_class'})
students = students.merge(num_class, left_on='SID', right_index=True)
```

B:

```
num_class = enrollment.groupby('SID').count()
num_class = num_class.set_index('SID')
num_class = num_class.rename(columns={'class_id': 'num_class'})
students['num_class'] = num_class['class_id']
C:
num_class = students.merge(enrollment, on='SID', how='outer').groupby('SID').count()
num_class = num_class.drop(columns=['class_name', 'student_name', 'major'])
num_class = num_class.rename(columns={'class_id': 'num_class'})
students = students.merge(num_class, left_on='SID', right_index=True)
```

- C

None of the above
(b) (4.0 pt) Now you are asked to find all unique majors across all students enrolled in Intro to Data Science. Specifically, you need to create a Series ds_majors that has majors as the index and the counts of students enrolled in Intro to Data Science in each major as the values.
Which of the following accomplishes this task? There is only one correct answer.
A:

```
ds = enrollment[enrollment['class_name'] == 'Intro to Data Science']
ds_majors = ds.merge(students, on='SID', how='outer').groupby('major')['SID'].count()
```

B:

```
ds = enrollment[enrollment['class_name'] == 'Intro to Data Science']
ds_majors = ds.merge(students, on='SID', how='left').groupby('major')['SID'].count()
C:
major_count = students.groupby('major').count()
merged = enrollment.merge(major_count, on='SID')
ds = merged[merged['class_name'] == 'Intro to Data Science']
ds_majors = ds['major']
\(\bigcirc \mathrm{A}\)
```

```
\(\bigcirc \mathrm{C}\)
```

```None of the above
```


## 4. (6.0 points)

A biology class grows and weighs yams as part of a class project. Some yams were grown in hot water and some were grown in cold water. A student, Shirley, decides to create a histogram of the yam weights.

Yam Weight in Kilograms

(a) (2.0 pt) Professor Kane decides that yams weighing between 8 and 9 kilograms are his favorite. What percentage of yams weigh between 8 and 9 kilograms?

○ $20 \%$$25 \%$$30 \%$
○ $35 \%$

- Impossible to tell

With the information given, you can't determine how many yams in the $7.5-8.5 \mathrm{~kg}$ bin weigh over 8 kg .
(b) (2.0 pt) Another student, Jeff, suspects that the the yams grown in hot water didn't grow as well as the yams grown in cold water and as such ended up weighing less. If 20 yams were grown in total and weighed, how many yams weigh less than 7 kilograms?3

- 6Impossible to tell
The proportion of yams weighing less than 7 kilograms is given by $(6-5)(0.15)+(6.5-6)(0.2)+(7-6.5)(0.1)=$ $0.15+0.1+0.05=0.3$. That gives us 6 yams weighing less than 7 kilograms.
(c) (2.0 pt) A third student, Todd, wants to compare the maximum bin ( 9.5 to 10 kilograms) with the median bin ( 7.5 to 8.5 kilograms). Which bin contains more yams?Median bin ( 7.5 to 8.5 kg bin)
$\bigcirc$ Maximum bin ( 9.5 to 10 kg bin)
They contain the same number of yams
$\bigcirc$ Impossible to tell
$(8.5-7.5)(0.25)=(10-9.5)(0.5)$


## 5. (15.0 points)

(a) Suppose we have the following dataset from the neighborhood CVS store on Shattuck. The table shows total rain ( mm ) for each quarter and total number of umbrellas sold for each quarter. Note: For the first three parts of this question, our dataset only has these four rows.

| Quarter | Total rain (mm) | Total number of umbrellas sold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan-Mar | 300 | 200 |
| Apr-Jun | 50 | 40 |
| Jul-Sep | 10 | 10 |
| Oct-Dec | 200 | 100 |

i. (2.0 pt) We first decide to model umbrella sales using the constant model $\hat{y}=\theta$. We will use squared loss as our loss function (no regularization).

Which expression below correctly gives the average loss of our fitted model on the given dataset? Select the closest answer.$R(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(y_{i}-87.5 x_{i}\right)^{2}$$R(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(y_{i}-140\right)^{2}$
$R(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(y_{i}-87.5\right)^{2}$
$R(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(y_{i}-140 x_{i}\right)^{2}$
The MSE minimizing value for the constant model is the mean, which in this case is $\frac{200+40+10+100}{4}=$ 87.5.
ii. (3.0 pt) Now we decide to fit a simple linear model with an intercept term $\hat{y}=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} x$ that predicts total number of umbrellas sold $(y)$ given total rain $(x)$. We will use squared loss as our loss function, and we will not use regularization.

We are given $r=0.979, \sigma_{x}=116.40$, and $\sigma_{y}=72.59$, which are the correlation coefficient, standard deviation of $x$, and standard deviation of $y$, respectively.

Which expression below correctly gives the average loss of our fitted model on the given dataset? Select the closest answer.$R(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(y_{i}-\left(10+0.61 x_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$
$\bigcirc(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(y_{i}-\left(0.61+2 x_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$
〇 $R(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(y_{i}-\left(2.57+1.57 x_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$
$R(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(y_{i}-\left(2+0.61 x_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\theta_{1}}=r \frac{\sigma_{y}}{\sigma_{x}}=0.98 \cdot \frac{72.59}{116.4} \approx 0.61 \\
\hat{\theta_{0}}=\bar{y}-\hat{\theta_{1}} \bar{x}=87.5-0.61 \cdot 140 \approx 2
\end{gathered}
$$

iii. (3.0 pt) For whatever reason, we decide to reverse our model. That is, we decide to predict total rain $(x)$ given total number of umbrellas sold ( $y$ ) using a simple linear model with an intercept term $\hat{x}=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} y$. Again, we will use squared loss as our loss function, and we will not use regularization.
Which expression below correctly gives the average loss of our fitted model on the given dataset? Select the closest answer.
$R(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(x_{i}-\left(10+1.57 y_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$$R(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(x_{i}-\left(0.61+2 y_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$
$R(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(x_{i}-\left(2.57+1.57 y_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$$R(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(x_{i}-\left(2+0.61 y_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\theta_{1}}=r \frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sigma_{y}}=0.98 \cdot \frac{116.4}{72.59} \approx 1.57 \\
\hat{\theta_{0}}=\bar{x}-\hat{\theta_{1}} \bar{y}=140-1.57 \cdot 87.5 \approx 2.57
\end{gathered}
$$

(b) Now, we are back to predicting total number of umbrellas sold $(y)$. For the remainder of the question, assume that we have many more rows of data, not just the four given originally.

In the first part of this question, we didn't use the Quarter column. Let's suppose we want to one-hot encode Quarter for use in our model, but with a twist - we only want to encode whether or not the current Quarter is Jul-Sep, since that's when rainfall is at a low.
The resulting design matrix, along with an intercept column, is provided below. (Note, the "Total number of umbrellas sold" column is no longer visible since it's not part of our design matrix.)

| Intercept | Quarter=Jul-Sep | Quarter!=Jul-Sep | Total rain (mm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 300 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 50 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 200 |

We fit two different linear models using ordinary least squares, both of which use a subset of the columns of the above design matrix:

- We fit a linear model on all columns except Quarter!=Jul-Sep. After doing so, we end up with the following fitted model, where our optimal model parameter is $\hat{\theta}=[\text { letter } 1 \text {, letter } 2 \text {, letter } 3]^{T}$ :

$$
\hat{y}=\text { letter } 1+\text { letter } 2 \cdot(\text { Quarter }=\text { Jul-Sep })+\text { letter } 3 \cdot(\text { Total rain })
$$

- We fit a linear model on all columns except Quarter=Jul-Sep. After doing so, we end up with the following fitted model, where our optimal model parameter is $\hat{\beta}=[D, E, F]^{T}$ :

$$
\hat{y}=D+E \cdot(\text { Quarter }!=\text { Jul-Sep })+F \cdot(\text { Total rain })
$$

In this problem, you will express $D, E$, and $F$ in terms of letter 1 , letter 2 , and letter3. Your answers should all be algebraic expressions, for instance " 100 * letter $1 *$ letter $2 *$ letter 3 " (that is not the correct answer to any of these parts). If you don't believe it's possible to determine the answer, just write "not possible".
i. (2.0 pt) What is D in terms of letter 1 , letter 2 , and letter 3 ?

Note, this solution assumes $A=$ letter1, $B=$ letter2, and $C=$ letter3.

$$
D=A+B
$$

We know that the design matrices used in both models convey the same information, i.e. their spans are the same. (Specifically, Quarter=Jul-Sep = Intercept - Quarter!=Jul-Sep.) Thus, their predictions must also be the same.

Let $x$ represent the column Quarter=Jul-Sep in the first matrix, and let $z$ represent the total rain column. We then have

$$
A+B x+C z=D+E(1-x)+F z
$$

Changing the column we one-hot encoded in this case doesn't affect the coefficient on total rain, so $C=F$. Looking at the first two terms on both sides closer, we have

$$
A+B x=D+E-E x
$$

Since the LHS and RHS above must be equal regardless of the value $x$ takes on, we have $A=D+E$ and $B=-E$. That gives $E=-B$ and $D=A-E=A+B$, as needed.
ii. (2.0 pt) What is $E$ in terms of letter 1 , letter 2 , and letter 3 ?

$$
E=-B
$$

iii. (2.0 pt) What is $F$ in terms of letter 1 , letter 2 , and letter 3 ?

$$
F=C
$$

iv. (1.0 pt) Suppose we now regularize the previous two models using $L_{2}$ regularization with some fixed value of $\lambda>0$.
We denote the optimal regularized model parameters by $\hat{\theta}_{\text {ridge }}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{\text {ridge }}$, corresponding to the first and second models in the previous part, respectively. All three of our features, including our intercept term, are regularized.

True or False: The relationships involving $D, E, F$, letter 1 , letter 2 , and letter 3 from the previous part still hold true, even though our model is now regularized.
$\bigcirc$ True

- False

Though $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ from the previous parts have the same predictions, their components are different, and they have different $L_{2}$ norms. Thus, we can't use the same "comparison trick" we used in the previous part to match values of $\hat{\theta}_{\text {ridge }}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{\text {ridge }}$.
However, if we instead didn't regularize the intercept term, the relationships would remain true!

## 6. (7.0 points)

(a) In class, we derived the following bias-variance decomposition under a specific set of conditions.

$$
\text { model risk }=\sigma^{2}+(\text { model bias })^{2}+\text { model variance }
$$

We assume that there is an unknown underlying function $g(x)$ that generates the points we observe. Specifically, we observe $Y_{i}=g\left(x_{i}\right)+\epsilon_{i}$, where $\epsilon_{i}$ is a zero-mean noise term with variance $\sigma^{2}$ that is independent for each observation. Our model's goal is to approximate $g(x)$ as best as possible.
i. (1.0 pt) Does this decomposition hold true for linear models and squared loss?

- YesNo
We derived this specific equation by decomposing mean squared error. We did not make any assumptions about the kind of prediction function we were using; it holds true for any model with squared loss.
ii. (1.0 pt) Does this decomposition hold true for non-linear models and squared loss?
- YesNo
iii. (1.0 pt) Does this decomposition hold true for linear models and absolute loss?
$\bigcirc$ Yes
- No
iv. (1.0 pt) Does this decomposition hold true for classification decision trees and zero-one loss?
(Zero-one loss is equal to 1 if a prediction is correct, and 0 if it is incorrect.)
$\bigcirc$ Yes
- No
(b) Recall that we discussed the technique of pruning a decision tree, which involves removing certain branches. What effect does pruning a decision tree have on its
i. ( 1.0 pt) Bias?

O Increases itDecreases it
When we prune a decision tree, we remove branches that are not crucial to its classifications. This reduces complexity, which increases bias while reducing variance.
ii. (1.0 pt) Variance?

O Increases it
D Decreases it
iii. (1.0 pt) Complexity?Increases it

- Decreases itDepends on the splitting rule


## 7. (4.0 points)

For each of the following prompts, answer true if the given modification to $k$-fold cross-validation will result in overfitting, and false if it will not. Assume that we have a large dataset that we have split into a training set and test set.
(a) (1.0 pt) The test set is divided into $k$ folds. For each fold of the test set, we use the entire training set to train the model, and use the given fold/subset of the test set for validation. The average error among all $k$ folds is the cross-validation error.

True or False: This modification will result in overfitting.

- True

OFalse
We shouldn't be using the test set for validation purposes; that defeats the purpose of cross-validation.
(b) (1.0 pt) We use normal $k$-fold cross-validation, but for each fold we only use half of the validation set for validation.

True or False: This modification will result in overfitting.True

- False

This will not cause overfitting, but it is essentially throwing away data; we could be training our model on more data without overfitting.
(c) (1.0 pt) We use normal $k$-fold cross-validation, but for each fold we use the entire training set for training. True or False: This modification will result in overfitting.

- True
$\bigcirc$ False
The purpose of training on $k-1$ folds and using the remaining fold for validation is to not train and validate our model on the same fold. By making the modification proposed in the question, we would be doing just that.
(d) (1.0 pt) We use normal $k$-fold cross-validation, but after the train-test split, we standardize the training set before running cross-validation so that each column has mean 0 and variance 1.

True or False: This modification will result in overfitting.
OTrue

- False

This subpart is tricky; this is what you're supposed to do. If you standardize before the train-test split, you're encoding information about the test set into your training set (to standardize, you need to know the mean of a column, but if you compute the mean of a given column for the entire dataset, that gives you information about what the test data's mean is). This principle is called leakage.

## 8. (14.0 points)

Consider the following model:

$$
f_{\theta}(x)=\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}} x+\theta_{1} \theta_{2} x^{2}
$$

We have a training dataset with two observations $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right):\{(1,1),(2,3)\}$.
In order to determine optimal model parameters $\hat{\theta}_{0}, \hat{\theta}_{1}$, and $\hat{\theta}_{2}$, we choose squared loss with $L_{2}$ regularization. Assume that the regularization hyperparameter $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}$ for the entirety of this question, and assume that we regularize the intercept term $\theta_{0}$. Our objective function is the sum of our loss function averaged across our entire dataset and a regularization penalty.

We decide to use gradient descent to help us solve for the optimal parameters.
(a) (3.0 pt) Which of the following is equal to the objective function for our model, loss, regularization, and training data?

$$
\begin{gather*}
R(\theta)=\left[\left(\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2}-1\right)^{2}+\left(\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}+1}+4 \theta_{1} \theta_{2}-3\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{0}^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2}+\theta_{2}^{2}\right) \\
R(\theta)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(1-\left(\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(3-\left(\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}+1}+4 \theta_{1} \theta_{2}\right)\right)^{2}+\left|\theta_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\theta_{1}\right|+\left|\theta_{2}\right|\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
R(\theta)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(1-\left(\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(3-\left(\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}+1}+4 \theta_{1} \theta_{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right]+2\left(\theta_{1}^{2}+\theta_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

$\bullet$

$$
R(\theta)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2}-1\right)^{2}+\left(\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}+1}+4 \theta_{1} \theta_{2}-3\right)^{2}+\theta_{0}^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2}+\theta_{2}^{2}\right]
$$

The last option is the only one equivalent to

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-f_{\theta}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda \sum_{i=0}^{p} \theta_{i}^{2}
$$

Note that in this question $\frac{1}{n}=\lambda$ which makes things tricky.
Suppose we start our gradient descent procedure at the initial guess $\theta^{(0)}=\left[\begin{array}{l}a \\ b \\ c\end{array}\right]$, where $a, b, c$ are some constants.
Then, $\left.\frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta_{0}}\right|_{\theta=\theta^{(0)}}$, the partial derivative of our objective function with respect to $\theta_{0}$ evaluated at our initial guess $\theta^{(0)}$, is of the form

$$
G a+H \cdot 2^{b}+5 b c-4
$$

where $G$ and $H$ are integers.
(b) i. (3.0 pt) What is $G$ ?

○ -3
○ -2
○-1
$\bigcirc 2$

- 3

Starting with

$$
R(\theta)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2}-1\right)^{2}+\left(\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}+1}+4 \theta_{1} \theta_{2}-3\right)^{2}+\theta_{0}^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2}+\theta_{2}^{2}\right]
$$

we can take the partial derivative with respect to $\theta_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta_{0}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[2\left(\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2}-1\right)+2\left(\theta_{0}+2 \cdot 2^{\theta_{1}}+4 \theta_{1} \theta_{2}-3\right)\right] \\
& =3 \theta_{0}+3 \cdot 2^{\theta_{1}}+5 \theta_{1} \theta_{2}-4
\end{aligned}
$$

We're told we're evaluating the partial derivative at our initial guess $\theta^{(0)}$, which we are calling $\theta^{(0)}=\left[\begin{array}{l}a \\ b \\ c\end{array}\right]$. Then:

$$
\left.\frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta_{0}}\right|_{\theta=\theta^{(0)}}=3 a+3 \cdot 2^{b}+5 b c-4
$$

Giving $G=3$ and $H=3$.
ii. (3.0 pt) What is $H$ ?$-2$$-1$

- 3
(c) (1.0 pt) Recall that our model is $f_{\theta}(x)=\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}} x+\theta_{1} \theta_{2} x^{2}$, or equivalently $f_{\theta}\left(x_{i}\right)=\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}} x_{i}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2} x_{i}^{2}$. Suppose we define $\gamma=\left[\begin{array}{l}\gamma_{0} \\ \gamma_{1} \\ \gamma_{2}\end{array}\right]$ such that

$$
\gamma_{0}=\theta_{0}, \gamma_{1}=2^{\theta_{1}}, \gamma_{2}=\theta_{2}
$$

Can we use ridge regression to find $\hat{\gamma}$ ?Yes

- No

The updated model is $f_{\gamma}\left(x_{i}\right)=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} x_{i}+\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} x_{i}^{2}$, which is not linear in $\gamma$, meaning we can't use ridge regression to solve for $\hat{\gamma}$.
(d) (1.0 pt) Suppose our model is instead

$$
f_{\theta}\left(x_{i}\right)=\theta_{0}+2^{\theta_{1}} x_{i, 1}+\theta_{2} x_{i, 1} \cdot x_{i, 2}
$$

where $x_{i, 1}$ and $x_{i, 2}$ are scalars corresponding to feature 1 and feature 2 for observation $i$, respectively. Let $\gamma$ be as defined in the previous part.
Can we use ridge regression to find $\hat{\gamma}$ ?

- Yes
$\bigcirc \mathrm{No}$
Our updated model is $f_{\gamma}\left(x_{i}\right)=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} x_{i, 1}+\gamma_{2} x_{i, 1} \cdot x_{i, 2}$. This is indeed linear in terms of $\gamma$, so we can use ridge regression to find $\hat{\gamma}$.
(e) (3.0 pt) Note: This part is independent of the previous parts of this question.

Below is a buggy implementation of sgd, a function which is supposed to perform stochastic gradient descent with batch size $B$ on the training dataset $X$ and $y$ by applying the gradient gradient_function with learning rate alpha.

```
def sgd(X, y, theta0, gradient_function, alpha, B, max_iter=100000):
    Performs stochastic gradient descent.
    Args:
        X: A 2D array, the dataset, with features stored in columns
                and observations stored in rows
        y: A 1D array, the outcome values
        theta0: A 1D array, the initial weights
        gradient_function: A function that takes in a vector
                of weights, a dataset, and outcome values and
                returns the value of the gradient
        alpha: A float, the learning rate
        B: An integer, the batch size
        max_iter (optional): The maximum number of iterations
            to attempt during SGD
    Returns:
        A 1D array of optimal weights
    Notes:
        gradient_function takes 3 arguments: a 1D array of weights,
        a 2D array of data points, and a 1D array of outcomes. It
        returns a 1D array of the same shape as the weights, the
        value of the gradient evaluated with those parameters.
    """
    theta = theta0
    for _ in range(max_iter):
        idx = np.random.choice(X.shape[1], size=B, replace=True)
        Xb, yb = X[idx,:], y[idx]
        grad = gradient_function(theta, Xb, yb)
        theta = theta - alpha*grad
    return theta
```

Which of the following edits need to be made to the implementation of sgd above so that it works correctly (as specified in class)? Select all that apply.

- X.shape [1] should be replaced with X.shape [0]
$\square$ size=B should be replaced with size=X. shape [0]
$\square$ replace $=$ True should be replaced with replace $=$ False
$\square$ theta - alpha*grad should be replaced with theta + alpha*gradgradient_function(theta, $\mathrm{Xb}, \mathrm{yb}$ ) should be replaced with gradient_function(theta, $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{y}$ )X[idx,:], y[idx] should be replaced with X[:, idx], yNone of the above


## 9. (13.0 points)

In this problem, we'll be using logistic regression to build a classifier that differentiates between 2 varieties of wine produced in the same region of Italy.
In this problem, assume the following:

- We are working with a design matrix $\mathbb{X}$ with two features: the hue of the wine (hue, $x_{1}$ ) and its alcohol by volume (abv, $x_{2}$ ). Note that both hue and abv are quantitative (hue is a quantitative measure of a wine's color).
- $\mathbb{X}$ is standardized.
- All wines are either type 0 or $1(y)$.

We are modeling the probability that a particular wine is of type 1 using

$$
P(Y=1 \mid x)=\sigma\left(\theta_{1} \cdot \text { hue }+\theta_{2} \cdot \mathrm{abv}\right)
$$

(a) (2.0 pt) Consider the following scatter plot of our two (standardized) features. Note, this scatter plot is only relevant in this subpart of the question.


Which of the following statements are true about an unregularized logistic regression model fit on the above data? Select all that apply.
$\square$ After performing logistic regression, the weight for the hue feature will very likely have a negative sign.
After performing logistic regression, the weight for the abv feature will very likely have a negative sign.
$\square$ After performing logistic regression, the abv feature will have very likely a higher magnitude weight than the hue feature.This data is linearly separable between the two wine types without any feature transformations.
(b) (2.0 pt) Consider the following three rows from our training data, along with their predicted probabilities $\hat{y}$ for some choice of $\theta$ :

| hue | abv | $y$ | $\hat{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.17 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.45 |
| -1.18 | 1.61 | 0 | 0.19 |
| 1.25 | -0.97 | 1 | 0.80 |

What is the mean cross-entropy loss on just the above three rows of our training data?
$-\frac{1}{3}(\log (0.45)+\log (0.19)+\log (0.20))$
$-\frac{1}{3}(\log (0.55)+\log (0.19)+\log (0.80))$
$-\frac{1}{3}(\log (0.45)+\log (0.81)+\log (0.80))$
$-\frac{1}{3}(\log (0.55)+\log (0.81)+\log (0.80))$
$\bigcirc$ None of the above
(c) (3.0 pt) After thresholding $\hat{y}$, we compute a confusion matrix for our model's predictions. As a reminder, type 0 and type 1 refer to wine types.

|  | Predicted Type 0 | Predicted Type 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Actual Type 0 | 57 | $? ? ?$ |
| Actual Type 1 | $? ? ?$ | 62 |

For some reason, our confusion matrix is corrupted, and doesn't contain the information on the off-diagonals. However, we somehow know that our model's accuracy is $\frac{119}{130}$ and our model's precision is $\frac{31}{32}$.
What is our model's recall? Give your answer as a reduced fraction with no spaces, i.e. in the form $a / b$ (no decimals or spaces).

## 62/71

From the confusion matrix, we're given $T P=62$ and $T N=57$. We're also given that the accuracy is $\frac{119}{130}$, and we know that accuracy is $\frac{T P+T N}{T P+T N+F P+F N}$. Since $T P+T N=119$, we know that $F P+F N=11$.
Since we know the precision is $\frac{31}{32}$, we can solve for $F P$ :

$$
\frac{T P}{T P+F P}=\frac{62}{62+F P}=\frac{31}{32}
$$

Thus, $62+F P=64$ and $F P=2$. This means that $F N=11-F P=9$, and the recall is

$$
\frac{T P}{T P+F N}=\frac{62}{62+9}=\frac{62}{71}
$$

(d) Suppose we choose $\hat{\theta}=[2,1]^{T}$. Consider the wine "Billywine" with hue $\frac{1}{4}$ and abv -2 .
i. ( 2.0 pt) Let $\beta$ be the odds that Billywine is a type 1 wine under our model. What is $\beta$ ? There is only one correct answer.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta=\frac{3}{2} \\
\beta=-\frac{3}{2} \\
\beta=e^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
\beta=e^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\
\beta=\sigma\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) \\
\beta=\log \left(\frac{-\frac{3}{2}}{1+\frac{3}{2}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

O

We know that with the logistic regression model, the log-odds of the probability of belonging to class 1 is linear, and specifically is $x^{T} \hat{\theta}$. Here, $x^{T} \hat{\theta}=2 \cdot \frac{1}{4}+1 \cdot(-2)=-\frac{3}{2}$.
Then, the odds is the log-odds exponentiated with base $e$ :

$$
\beta=e^{x^{T^{T}} \theta}=e^{-\frac{3}{2}}
$$

ii. (2.0 pt) Let $\gamma$ be the probability that Billywine is a type 1 wine under our model. What is $\gamma$ ? Select all that apply. ( $\beta$ is as defined in the previous subpart.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma=e^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \gamma=\sigma\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) \\
& \gamma=\sigma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \\
& \gamma=\frac{\beta-1}{\beta} \\
& \gamma=\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

As we've studied in class, $P(Y=1 \mid x)=\sigma\left(x^{T} \hat{\theta}\right)=\sigma\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)$, which gives one answer choice.
To arrive at the other, we need to realize that

$$
\sigma\left(x^{T} \hat{\theta}\right)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x^{T} \hat{\theta}}}=\frac{e^{x^{T} \hat{\theta}}}{1+e^{x^{T} \hat{\theta}}}
$$

Since $\beta=e^{x^{T} \hat{\theta}}$, another correct answer choice is $\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}$.
iii. (2.0 pt) Suppose that we choose a threshold $T$ such that the decision boundary of our model is $2 \cdot$ hue + abv $=\frac{3}{2}$. What value of $T$ results in this decision boundary? There is only one correct answer. ( $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are as defined in the previous two subparts.)$T=\beta$$T=e^{-\gamma}$$T=\gamma$$T=-\beta$$T=1-\beta$
$T=\log \left(\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\right)$

- $T=1-\gamma$

Notice that in this specific case, the decision boundary $\sigma(2 \cdot \mathrm{hue}+\mathrm{abv})=T$ is equivalent to $2 \cdot$ hue $+\mathrm{abv}=$ $-x^{T} \hat{\theta}$. This is because $x^{T} \hat{\theta}=-\frac{3}{2}$, and we are given that $2 \cdot$ hue $+\mathrm{abv}=\frac{3}{2}$.
This means that $\sigma^{-1}(T)=-x^{T} \hat{\theta}$, or equivalently that $T=\sigma\left(-x^{T} \hat{\theta}\right)$.
We know that $\sigma(-t)=1-\sigma(t)$. We also know that $\gamma=\sigma\left(x^{T} \hat{\theta}\right)$. This means that

$$
T=\sigma\left(-x^{T} \hat{\theta}\right)=1-\sigma\left(x^{T} \hat{\theta}\right)=1-\gamma
$$

10. (7.0 points)
(a) Suppose we are given the following scatter plot.


We have data that is plotted in the space of features $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. Suppose we want to perform PCA on these two features.
i. (1.0 pt) Which of the following is most likely to be the equation of the line representing PC 1?

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{2}=\frac{11}{3} x_{1}-9 \\
x_{2}=3 x_{1} \\
x_{2}=-\frac{20}{3} x_{1}+5
\end{gathered}
$$

$\bullet$

$$
x_{2}=\frac{2}{3} x_{1}
$$

$$
x_{2}=-3 x_{1}
$$

ii. (1.0 pt) Which of the following is most likely to be the equation of the line representing PC 2? $\bigcirc$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{2}=-3 x_{1} \\
x_{2}=\frac{1}{3} x_{1}+5 \\
x_{2}=-4 x_{1}+10 \\
x_{2}=3 x_{1} \\
x_{2}=-\frac{3}{2} x_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

(b) In this part of this question, we will look at emotion ratings of images for a psychology experiment. Each row of the DataFrame F represents an image, and each column represents an emotion. There are 940 images and 7 emotions. An example row of $F$ is provided below.

|  | Happy | Sad | Afraid | Anger | Disgusted | Surprised | Neutral |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| img1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 |

Say we perform the SVD on F using the following code:
$\mathrm{X}=(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{np} . \operatorname{mean}(\mathrm{F}$, axis $=0)$ )
$u, s, v t=n p . l i n a l g . s v d\left(X, f u l l \_m a t r i c e s=F a l s e\right)$
SCREE Plot
i. ( 1.0 pt )


The above scree plot depicts the proportion of variance captured by each PC. Ignoring the plot's title, which of the following lines of code could have created the above plot?plt.plot (s**2/np.sum (s**2), u)plt.plot(F[:, :7]), s**2/np.sum(s))
plt.plot(np.arange(1, F.shape[1]+1), s**2/np.sum(s**2))plt.plot(np.arange(1, F.shape[1]+1), s**2/np.sum(s))plt.plot(u@s, s**2/np.sum(s**2))
As covered in class.
ii. (2.0 pt) Suppose we know that np. $\operatorname{sum}(\mathbf{s} * * 2)$ evaluates to 121 . Which of the following is closest to s [1]?
$\bigcirc 0.3$
$\bigcirc 3.3$

- 6
$\bigcirc 8$
$\bigcirc 36$
From the above scree plot, we know that the proportion of variance captured by PC 2 is roughly 0.3 .
This means $\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{7}}=0.3$. We are told the denominator is 121 , which gives $\sigma_{2}^{2}=36.3 \Longrightarrow \sigma_{2} \approx 6$.
(Note that due to Python's zero-indexing, $\sigma_{2}$ corresponds to s[1].)
iii. (1.0 pt) Which of the following statements evaluates to True?
- (u @ np.diag(s)).shape $==(940,7)$

○ (u @ np.diag(s)).shape $==(7,7)$
○ (u @ np.diag(s)).shape $==(940,940)$(u @ np.diag(s)).shape $==(7,940)$None of the above
Our PC matrix $U \Sigma$ has the same dimensions as our data matrix.
iv. (1.0 pt) True or False: Ignoring numerical precision issues, the expression
np.var((X @ vt.T)[:, i]) == s[i]**2 / len(X)
evaluates to True for all integers i between 0 and $X$.shape [1] - 1 .

- TrueFalseImpossible to tell
Both the left and right sides are equal to the variance of PC $i$.


## 11. (8.0 points)

(a) Consider the following three datasets, each consisting of two features ( $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ ) and a class label (red crosses and blue stars).


The green triangle in Dataset 3 represents a point with an overlapping red cross and blue star point at the same position. Assume that otherwise, there are no overlapping points of different classes in any of the above datasets.
i. ( 2.0 pt ) On which of the above datasets could logistic regression (fit with no regularization) achieve $100 \%$ training accuracy? Select all that apply.

Dataset 1Dataset 2Dataset 3None of the above
Logistic regression can achieve $100 \%$ training accuracy only when the training data is linearly separable, which it is for Dataset 1 but not for the others.
ii. (2.0 pt) On which of the above datasets could a decision tree achieve $100 \%$ training accuracy? Select all that apply.
Dataset 1

- Dataset 2
$\square$ Dataset 3None of the above
The only time a decision tree or random forest can achieve $100 \%$ training accuracy is when there are no overlapping points of different classes (impure nodes). There aren't in Dataset 1 or 2 , so both can achieve $100 \%$ training accuracy. There is an overlapping point in Dataset 3 (the green triangle) so neither can achieve $100 \%$ training accuracy there.
iii. (2.0 pt) On which of the above datasets could a random forest achieve $100 \%$ training accuracy? Select all that apply.

Dataset 1
Dataset 2Dataset 3None of the above
(b) (2.0 pt) Suppose we have a training dataset with $n=2^{6}$ observations, consisting of some design matrix $\mathbb{X}$ and binary response variable $y$, and we want to train a binary classifier.

The all-zero classifier is a classifer that predicts 0 for all observations, regardless of input. The training accuracy of the all-zero classifier on our training data is $\frac{1}{8}$.
If we were to build a decision tree for classification, what would be the entropy of the tree at the root node, where all observations begin?
$-\frac{1}{8}\left[7 \log _{2} \frac{1}{8}+\log _{2} \frac{7}{8}\right]$
$-\frac{1}{8}\left[\log _{2} \frac{1}{8}+\log _{2} \frac{7}{8}\right]$
$-\frac{1}{64}\left[8 \log _{2} \frac{1}{8}+56 \log _{2} \frac{7}{8}\right]$
$-\frac{1}{8}\left[\log _{2} \frac{1}{8}+7 \log _{2} \frac{7}{8}\right]$
$-8\left[\log _{2} \frac{1}{8}+7 \log _{2} \frac{7}{8}\right]$Impossible to tell
At the root node, $\frac{1}{8}$ points belong in class 0 and $\frac{7}{8}$ points belong in class 1 . Thus, the entropy of the node is

$$
-\frac{1}{8} \log \frac{1}{8}-\frac{7}{8} \log \frac{7}{8}
$$

Note, we accidentally made it so that two answer choices are correct; we awarded credit to students who selected either one.

## 12. ( 7.0 points)

Consider a DataFrame people containing the height, weight, and BMI (body mass index) of several individuals. Our dataset has three columns:

- height ( cm ) : Height in centimeters
- weight (kg): Weight in kilograms
- bmi: Body Mass Index, calculated as

```
people['bmi'] = people['weight (kg)'] / (people['height (cm)'] / 100) ** 2
```

The first five rows of people might look something like:

| height $(\mathrm{cm})$ | weight $(\mathrm{kg})$ | bmi |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 185.42 | 109.545 | 31.8626 |
| 172.72 | 73.6364 | 24.6835 |
| 187.96 | 96.3636 | 27.2761 |
| 180.34 | 100 | 30.7479 |
| 175.26 | 93.6364 | 30.4845 |

(a) (2.0 pt) Let $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ be a function that computes the correlation coefficient $r$ for two Series of numbers x and $y$.

Suppose, just for this part, that the values in height (cm) and weight (kg) are generated using an uncorrelated random number generator (that is, r(people['height (cm)'], people['weight (kg)']) $=0$ ).

What is the most likely value of $R=r$ (people['height (cm)'], people['bmi'])?
R $<-0.2$
$-0.2<=R<0.2$
$\bigcirc R>=0.2$
If height and weight are uncorrelated, then on average, as height increases, bmi decreases. We can fix a value for weight and let height vary without changing weight because they are uncorrelated.
(b) (2.0 pt) For whatever reason, we decide to add Imperial units to our dataset, which we will now call humans. That is, we add the columns height (in) and weight (lb), where humans ['height (in)'] = humans['height (cm)'] / 2.54 and humans['weight (lb)'] = humans['weight (kg)'] * 2.2 .
The first five rows of humans might look something like:

| height (in) | height (cm) | weight (lb) | weight (kg) | bmi |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 73 | 185.42 | 241 | 109.545 | 31.8626 |
| 68 | 172.72 | 162 | 73.6364 | 24.6835 |
| 74 | 187.96 | 212 | 96.3636 | 27.2761 |
| 71 | 180.34 | 220 | 100 | 30.7479 |
| 69 | 175.26 | 206 | 93.6364 | 30.4845 |

Which of the following sets of columns are linearly independent and have a span that is equal to the span of the columns of humans? Select all that apply.

```
\square \mp@code { h e i g h t ~ ( i n ) , ~ h e i g h t ~ ( c m ) , ~ w e i g h t ~ ( l b ) , ~ w e i g h t ~ ( k g ) , ~ b m i }
height (in), weight (lb), bmi
height (cm), weight (lb), bmi
```height (in), height (cm), weight (lb), bmiheight (cm), bmiNone of the above
A correct answer includes exactly one of the height columns, exactly one of the weight columns, and the bmi column.
(c) (2.0 pt) Now suppose we fit two linear models on the humans data.

\section*{Model A:}
\[
\hat{\mathrm{bmi}}=\theta_{0}+\theta_{\mathrm{in}} \cdot \text { height }(\mathrm{in})+\theta_{\mathrm{cm}} \cdot \text { height }(\mathrm{cm})+\theta_{\mathrm{lb}} \cdot \text { weight }(\mathrm{lb})+\theta_{\mathrm{kg}} \cdot \text { weight }(\mathrm{kg})
\]

\section*{Model B:}
\[
\hat{\mathrm{bmi}}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{\mathrm{cm}} \cdot \text { height }(\mathrm{cm})+\beta_{\mathrm{kg}} \cdot \text { weight }(\mathrm{kg})
\]

Suppose we create \(95 \%\) confidence intervals for each of the above non-intercept parameters using the bootstrap method. Which of the following parameters' confidence interval will likely contain the value 0 ? Select all that apply.None of the above
There is strong multicollinearity in the first model. There is also some multicollinearity in the second model, since in reality height and weight are positively correlated, but height and weight are both independently useful in predicting bmi.
(d) (1.0 pt) Suppose we add random noise to all columns in humans except for bmi. Assume that our random noise is drawn from the Normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 2, and that the noise for each element in the DataFrame is independent. We call this new DataFrame noisy_humans.

Suppose we fit Model A and Model B on noisy_humans and create bootstrapped confidence intervals for each of the above six parameters. True or False: our answer to the previous part remains the same.
- True
\(\bigcirc\) False
A small amount of noise will make it so that our design matrix is full rank, but two of the columns are still redundant.
13. (3.0 points)

Below, we've clustered three different datasets each into three classes (orange circles, blue crosses, and green stars). Assume that there are no overlapping points anywhere.


(a) (2.0 pt) In which of the above dataset/clustering combinations is it true that
\[
\text { inertia }=n \cdot \text { distortion }
\]
where \(n\) is a positive integer? Select all that apply.
Clustering A
Clustering B
Clustering CNone of the above
In the correct answer choices, all three clusters have the same number of points. This means the distortion will be of the form
\[
\frac{a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}}{3}+\frac{b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}+b_{3}^{3}}{3}+\frac{c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{3}}{3}
\]
where \(a_{i}\) represents the distance from point \(i\) in cluster \(a\) to its cluster center.
On the other hand, inertia will be of the form
\[
a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}+b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}+b_{3}^{2}+c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}
\]
meaning that inertia \(=3 \cdot\) distortion. Note, this is not true for the first answer choice, because the clusters have different numbers of points in them.
(b) (1.0 pt) In which of the above dataset/clustering combinations is there a point with a negative silhouette score? Select all that apply.Clustering AClustering B
\(\square\) Clustering CNone of the above
14. (3.0 points)
(a) (1.0 pt) Fill in the blanks: In the star schema for data storage, the fact table contains \(\qquad\) that refer to \(\qquad\) in \(\qquad\)primary keys, secondary keys, dimension tablesintegers, primary keys, dimension tablesprimary keys, dimension tables, foreign keysprimary keys, foreign keys, dimension tables
foreign keys, primary keys, dimension tables
(b) (1.0 pt) Fill in the blanks: \(\qquad\) is/are designed to manipulate small amounts of data. \(\qquad\) is/are designed to manipulate large amounts of data. \(\qquad\) do/does both.
numpy and pandas, Hadoop and Spark, Modin
\(\bigcirc\) Hadoop and Spark, Modin, numpy and pandasHadoop and Spark, numpy and pandas, ModinModin, numpy and pandas, Hadoop and Spark
(c) (1.0 pt) True or False: Hadoop, Spark, and Modin were all created at Berkeley.
\(\bigcirc\) True
- False

Spark and Modin were, but Hadoop was not.

No more questions.```

