## Data 100 \& 200A Principles and Techniques of Data Science Spring 2019

## INSTRUCTIONS

- You have 70 minutes to complete the exam.
- The exam is closed book, closed notes, closed computer, closed calculator, except for two $8.5^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ crib sheets of your own creation.
- Mark your answers on the exam itself. We will not grade answers written on scratch paper.

| Last name |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| First name |  |
| Student ID number |  |
| CalCentral email (_@berkeley.edu) |  |
| Exam room |  |
| Name of the person to your left |  |
| Name of the person to your right |  |
| All the work on this exam is my own. <br> (please sign) |  |

Terminology and Notation Reference:

| $\exp (x)$ | $e^{x}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\log (x)$ | $\log _{e} x$ |
| Linear regression model | $E[Y \mid X]=X^{T} \beta$ |
| Logistic (or sigmoid) function | $\sigma(t)=\frac{1}{1+\exp (-t)}$ |
| Logistic regression model | $P(Y=1 \mid X)=\sigma\left(X^{T} \beta\right)$ |
| Squared error loss | $L(y, \theta)=(y-\theta)^{2}$ |
| Absolute error loss | $L(y, \theta)=\|y-\theta\|$ |
| Cross-entropy loss | $L(y, \theta)=-y \log \theta-(1-y) \log (1-\theta)$ |
| Bias | $\operatorname{Bias}[\hat{\theta}, \theta]=E[\hat{\theta}]-\theta$ |
| Variance | $\operatorname{Var}[\hat{\theta}]=E\left[(\hat{\theta}-E[\hat{\theta}])^{2}\right]$ |
| Mean squared error | $\operatorname{MSE}[\hat{\theta}, \theta]=E\left[(\hat{\theta}-\theta)^{2}\right]$ |

## 1. (8 points) Feature Engineering

For each dataset depicted below in a scatterplot, fill in the squares next to all of the letters for the vector-valued functions $f$ that would make it possible to choose a column vector $\beta$ such that $y_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right)^{T} \beta$ for all $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ pairs in the dataset. The input to each $f$ is a scalar $x$ shown on the horizontal axis, and the corresponding $y$ value is shown on the vertical axis.
(A) $f(x)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & x\end{array}\right]^{T}$
(B) $f(x)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & 2 x\end{array}\right]^{T}$
(C) $f(x)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & x & x^{2}\end{array}\right]^{T}$
(D) $f(x)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & |x|\end{array}\right]^{T}$
(E) None of the above

Notes: In (D), $x=-1$ and $x=1$ must have the same $y$ value, so the V shape cannot be moved horizontally with a linear combination of those features. Dataset (ii) was intended to be parabolic, but the original printed version of the exam had an error in the parabola shape; sorry! Credit was given for C or E .


## 2. (6 points) Estimation

A learning set $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{10}, y_{10}\right)$ is sampled from a population where $X$ and $Y$ are both binary.
The learning set data are summarized by the following table of row counts:

| $x$ | $y$ | Count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 4 |

(a) (4 pt) You decide to fit a constant model $P(Y=1 \mid X=0)=P(Y=1 \mid X=1)=\alpha$ using the cross-entropy loss function and no regularization. What is the formula for the empirical risk on this learning set for this model and loss function? What estimate of the model parameter $\alpha$ minimizes empirical risk? You must show your work for finding the estimate $\hat{\alpha}$ to receive full credit.
Recall: Since $Y$ is binary, $P(Y=0 \mid X)+P(Y=1 \mid X)=1$ for any $X$.

Empirical Risk: $-\frac{7}{10} \log \alpha-\frac{3}{10} \log (1-\alpha)$

Estimate $\hat{\alpha}$ (show your work):

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0=\frac{7}{10 \alpha}-\frac{3}{10(1-\alpha)} \\
0=7(1-\alpha)-3 \alpha \\
10 \alpha=7 \\
\alpha=\frac{7}{10}
\end{array}
$$

(b) (2 pt) The true population probability $P(Y=0 \mid X=0)$ is $\frac{1}{3}$. Provide an expression in terms of $\hat{\alpha}$ for the bias of the estimator of $P(Y=0 \mid X=0)$ described in part (a) for the constant model. You may use $E[\ldots]$ in your answer to denote an expectation under the data generating distribution of the learning set, but do not write $P(\ldots)$ in your answer.
$\operatorname{Bias}[\hat{P}(Y=0 \mid X=0), P(Y=0 \mid X=0)]=E[1-\hat{\alpha}]-\frac{1}{3}$ or equivalently $\frac{2}{3}-E[\hat{\alpha}]$
Note: the value for $\hat{\alpha}$ computed in part (a) is just for this particular learning set, which is just one sample among many possible samples. We don't know from this one dataset that $E[\hat{\alpha}]=\frac{7}{10}$. Bias does not describe a particular estimate from a particular dataset, but instead refers to the average of estimates obtained from repeated random sampling from the population, i.e., the average of $\hat{\alpha}$ from multiple learning sets.

## 3. ( 6 points) Linear Regression

A learning set of size four is sampled from a population where $X$ and $Y$ are both quantitative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=(2.5,3) \\
& \left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=(2,5) \\
& \left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)=(1,3) \\
& \left(x_{4}, y_{4}\right)=(3,5) .
\end{aligned}
$$

You fit a linear regression model $E[Y \mid X]=\beta_{0}+X \beta_{1}$, where $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are scalar parameters, by ridge regression, minimizing the following objective function:

$$
\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(y_{i}-\left(\beta_{0}+x_{i} \beta_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+\frac{\beta_{0}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}}{3}
$$

(a) (4 pt) Fill in all blanks below to compute the parameter estimates that minimize this regularized empirical risk. (You do not need to compute their values; just fill in the matrices appropriately.)

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{n}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
2.5 & 2 & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right] \\
Y_{n}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
3 & 5 & 3 & 5
\end{array}\right] \\
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\hat{\beta}_{0} \\
\hat{\beta}_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left(X_{n}^{T} X_{n}+\left[\begin{array}{lll} 
\\
\frac{4}{3} & 0 \\
0 & & \\
0
\end{array}\right]\right)^{-1} X_{n}^{T} Y_{n} .}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note: the common answer of $\frac{1}{3}$ on the diagonal of the regularization term was given full credit.
(b) (2 pt) Without computing values for $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$, write an expression for the squared error loss of the learning set observation $\left(x_{4}, y_{4}\right)$ in terms of $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ and any relevant numbers. Your solution should not contain any of $\hat{y}_{4}, x_{4}$, or $y_{4}$, but instead just numbers and $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$.
$L\left(y_{4}, \hat{y}_{4}\right)=\left(5-\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}+3 \hat{\beta}_{1}\right)\right)^{2}$

## 4. (8 points) Model Selection

(a) (2 pt) You have a quantitative outcome $Y$ and two quantitative covariates $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$. You want to fit a linear regression model for the conditional expected value $E[Y \mid X]$ of the outcome given the covariates, including an intercept. Bubble in the minimum dimension of the parameter vector $\beta$ needed to express this linear regression model?
$\bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 2 \bigcirc 3{ }^{\circ} \bigcirc 3 \bigcirc 5 \bigcirc 7 \bigcirc$ None of these
Both quantitative features and the intercept are needed.
(b) (2 pt) You have a quantitative outcome $Y$ and two qualitative covariates $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) . X_{1} \in\{a, b, c, d\}, X_{2} \in$ $\{e, f, g\}$, and there is no ordering to the values for either variable. You want to fit a linear regression model for the conditional expected value $E[Y \mid X]$ of the outcome given the covariates, including an intercept. Bubble in the minimum dimension of the parameter vector $\beta$ needed to express this linear regression model?


Each categorical variable with $k$ outcomes requires $k-1$ features to encode, because an additional feature would be a linear combination of the others and the intercept feature. $(4-1)+(3-1)+1=6$.
(c) ( $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ p t}$ ) Bubble all true statements: In ridge regression, when the assumptions of the linear model are satisfied, the larger the shrinkage/penalty parameter,
$\square$ the larger the magnitude of the bias of the estimator of the regression coefficients $\beta$.
$\square$ the smaller the magnitude of the bias of the estimator of the regression coefficients $\beta$.
$\square$ the larger the variance of the estimator of the regression coefficients $\beta$.
$\square$ the smaller variance of the estimator of the regression coefficients $\beta$.
$\square$ the smaller the true mean squared error of the estimator of the regression coefficients $\beta$.
(d) (2 pt) Bubble all true statements: A good approach for selecting the shrinkage/penalty parameter in LASSO is to:
$\square$ minimize the learning set risk for the squared error $\left(L_{2}\right)$ loss function.
$\square$ minimize the learning set risk for the absolute error $\left(L_{1}\right)$ loss function.
$\square$ minimize the cross-validated regularized risk for the squared error ( $L_{2}$ ) loss function.
$\square$ * minimize the cross-validated risk for the squared error $\left(L_{2}\right)$ loss function.
$\square$ minimize the variance of the estimator of the regression coefficients.
The cross-validated $L_{2}$ risk is a good unbiased estimator for the $L_{2}$ risk (average $L_{2}$ loss) on unseen data, which is the quantity we care to minimize in the end. The $L_{1}$ norm of the regression coefficients in LASSO is a regularization/penalty term that appears only for the purpose of estimating $\beta$ on the training set.

## 5. (12 points) Logistic Regression

(a) (2 pt) Bubble the expression that describes the odds ratio $\frac{P(Y=1 \mid X)}{P(Y=0 \mid X)}$ of a logistic regression model. Recall: $P(Y=0 \mid X)+P(Y=1 \mid X)=1$ for any $X$.
$\bigcirc X^{T} \beta$
$\bigcirc-X^{T} \beta$
$\bigcirc * \exp \left(X^{T} \beta\right)$
$\bigcirc \sigma\left(X^{T} \beta\right)$
None of these
(b) (2 pt) Bubble the expression that describes $P(Y=0 \mid X)$ for a logistic regression model.
$\bigcirc * \sigma\left(-X^{T} \beta\right)$
$\bigcirc 1-\log \left(1+\exp \left(X^{T} \beta\right)\right)$
$\bigcirc 1+\log \left(1+\exp \left(-X^{T} \beta\right)\right)$
$\bigcirc$ None of these
(c) (2 pt) Bubble all of the following that are typical effects of adding an $L_{1}$ regularization penalty to the loss function when fitting a logistic regression model with parameter vector $\beta$.
$\square$ The magnitude of the elements of the estimator of $\beta$ are increased.The magnitude of the elements of the estimator of $\beta$ are decreased.
$\square$ All elements of the estimator of $\beta$ are non-negative.Some elements of the estimator of $\beta$ are zero.
$\square$ None of the above.
Note: The first two options were not specific enough, and so the credit for that part of the question was given to all answers. The total magnitude of the estimated $\beta$ will decrease with an $L_{1}$ penalty, but some individual elements of $\beta$ may stay constant or increase.
(d) $(3 \mathbf{p t})$ What would be the primary disadvantage of a regularization term of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{J} \beta_{j}^{3}$ rather than the more typical ridge penalty $\sum_{j=1}^{J} \beta_{j}^{2}$ for logistic regression? Answer in one sentence.

The minimum of $\beta^{3}$ is attained at $\beta=-\infty$, so minimizing empirical risk would always result in a degenerate solution.
(e) (3 pt) For a logistic regression model $P(Y=1 \mid X)=\sigma(-2-3 X)$, where $X$ is a scalar random variable, what values of $x$ would give $P(Y=0 \mid X=x) \geq \frac{3}{4}$ ? You must show your work for full credit.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(Y=0 \mid X=x) & \geq \frac{3}{4} \\
1-P(Y=1 \mid X=x) & \geq \frac{3}{4} \\
P(Y=1 \mid X=x) & \leq \frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{1+\exp (2+3 x)} & \leq \frac{1}{4} \\
1+\exp (2+3 x) & \geq 4 \\
2+3 x & \geq \log 3 \\
x & \geq \frac{\log 3-2}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

