Randomized experiments, A/B tests and sequential monitoring

Steve Howard April 26, 2018

Doctor FootCare			Rs	hopping Cart	Doctor FootCare"			R si	R Shopping Cart	
Home Product	ts Learn Hore Tips Te	atimoniale FAQ About Us	Contact Us	-866-211-9733	None Produ	to Learnitions Tips	Testimonials FAQ Ab	out the Contact the	486-211-1713	
Shop With Co	nfidence laaranteed of 30-da ecured shopping of We at	ny, hassie-free Returns soure your Privacy			Shop With C	onfidence Guaranteed (* 1 Secured shopping (* 1	10-day, hassle-free Returns Re assure your Privacy			
					11915 Secured Checken/t			+ Procee	* Proceed To Checkout	
10815 Secured (heckout	Continue Shopping	* Proces	d To Checkout	Hern Name	Hern Number	Quartity Remov	But Price	Subsetul	
Item Name	Item Number	Quantity Remove	Unit Price	Subtotal	Trial Kit	FFCS	1 🗄	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Trial Kit	FECS	1 0	\$0.00	\$0.00				Eliscount	10.00	
		Update	1	Total: \$8.66			Enter Coupon Code			
		Select Shipping Hethod	Standard (\$5.9	5)			Select Shipping Nother	Standard (\$5.95)	~	
3 100% Secured (heckout	Continue Shopping	* Procee	d To Checkout	18815 Secure	I Checkout Rece	Continue Sh	apping Process	To Checkout	
Name 1 Erada	cts Anerschers Nes)	Testimonials EAQ Almosta	a I <u>Contect.Un</u>	1 Shawina	Barris Fred	nda i Anarta.ttora i Zien i I	textenenials EAQ About J	h i Contact.Vs i Shnoo	tes Lort	

From Kohavi, Longbotham, et al. (2009).

Doctor FootCare			Pi si	opping Cart	Docto	r FootCare	R	R Shopping Cart	
Home Product	ts Learn Hore Tips Te	stemoniale FAQ About the	Contact Us 1	-866-211-8733	Rame Produ	cts Learn Hore Tips	Testimonials FAQ	About the Contact th	-1-886-211-4713
Shop With Co G ⁴ Satisfaction G G ⁴ 100% Safe, S	infidence Buaranteed of 30-da incurred shopping of We as	y, hassle-free Returns sure your Privacy			Shop With C Of Satisfaction Of 100% Safe,	onfidence Guaranteed of Secured shopping of	30-day, hassle-free Returns We assure your Privacy		
					a serie Secured	Checkent		* Pro	ceed To Checkout
10015 Secured Checkout		Continue Shapping		To Checkout	Hem Name	Hern Number	Quarkity Re-	move Brit Price	Subsetal
Item Name	Item Number	Quantity Remove	Unit Price	Subtotal	Trial Kit	FFCS	1		\$0.00
Trial Kit	FFCS	1 0	\$0.00	\$0.00				Discount Total	10.00
		Update		Total: \$9.95			Enter Loopen Lode		
		Select Shipping Hethod	Standard (\$5.9	9			Select Shipping He	rthed Standard (\$5	95) 💌
3 19910 Secured C	Checkout	Continue Shopping	* Proceed	To Checkout	10010 Secure	f theckout Reco	alculate Continue	a Shopping * Prec	eed To Checkout
Norma 1 Ecolo Card	ecta I Anamictore I New I 3	instimumials EAQ Almost.Us	1 Contect.Un	Shearing	Name Fred	ecte Anaerochtere Thes	Textenorials EAQ Ale	out.Us Conduct.Us Sh	sophia Cart

10x revenue!

From Kohavi, Longbotham, et al. (2009).



From Kohavi, Deng, et al. (2012).



From Kohavi, Deng, et al. (2012).

Yes, the color thing is real.

Control color	Treatment color
	+\$10MM annually

FROM "THE SURPRISING POWER OF ONLINE EXPERIMENTS," SEPTEMBER-OCTOBER 2017, BY RON KOHAVI AND STEFAN THOMKE

© HBR.ORG

For years, Microsoft, like many other companies, had relied on expert designers—rather than the behavior of actual users—to define corporate style guides and colors.

From Kohavi and Thomke (2017).

"Which version is better?" is a thorny question.

- What I'd really like to know:
 - 1. What would happen if I were to show everyone version A?
 - 2. What would happen if I were to show everyone version B?

"Which version is better?" is a thorny question.

- What I'd really like to know:
 - 1. What would happen if I were to show everyone version A?
 - 2. What would happen if I were to show everyone version B?
- A simpler version:
 - 1. What would happen if I were to show you version A?
 - 2. What would happen if I were to show you version B?

- What I'd really like to know:
 - 1. What would happen if I were to show everyone version A?
 - 2. What would happen if I were to show everyone version B?
- A simpler version:
 - 1. What would happen if I were to show you version A?
 - 2. What would happen if I were to show you version B?
- I can never (reliably) answer this question!

- What I'd really like to know:
 - 1. What would happen if I were to show everyone version A?
 - 2. What would happen if I were to show everyone version B?
- A simpler version:
 - 1. What would happen if I were to show you version A?
 - 2. What would happen if I were to show you version B?
- I can never (reliably) answer this question!

"The fundamental problem of causal inference"

Carefully designed, randomized controlled experiments are the only reliable way to learn what works best. Sometimes the only thing you can do with a poorly designed experiment is to try to find out what it died of. (Fisher)

From Box, J. S. Hunter, and W. G. Hunter (2005).

1. The need for randomized experiments

- \cdot Prediction, estimation, and causal inference
- \cdot The two benefits of randomization

2. Design choices

3. Sequential experimentation

Prediction, estimation, and causal inference

Prediction, estimation, and causal inference: a coarse classification of statistical problems

Suppose I have data on birth weights at a certain hosptital, and whether each mother smoked.

A prediction problem:

Can you predict the birth weight of the next baby, given the mother's smoking status and other info?

Use any algorithm we want, check accuracy on held-out data.

An estimation problem:

What is the (adjusted) difference in birth weight between smokers and nonsmokers, in the population?

How precise is that estimate?

Need a probability model.

A causal inference problem:

What will be the effect on birth weight of telling mothers to stop smoking?

Need two groups of mothers **similar except for treatment**.

A causal inference problem:

What will be the effect on birth weight of telling mothers to stop smoking?

Need two groups of mothers **similar except for treatment**.

Randomized assignment yields such groups.

The two benefits of randomization

Designing an experiment is like gambling with the devil: Only a random strategy can defeat all his betting systems. (Fisher)

From Box, J. S. Hunter, and W. G. Hunter (2005).

Table 2. A study of 51 studies on the portacaval shunt. The welldesigned studies show the surgery to have little or no value. The poorlydesigned studies exaggerate the value of the surgery.

D C .1 .

	Degree of eninusiasm					
Design No controls Controls, but not randomized	Marked	Moderate	None			
No controls	24	7	1			
Controls, but not randomized	10	3	2 .			
Randomized controlled	0	1	3			

Source: N. D. Grace, H. Muench, and T. C. Chalmers, "The present status of shunts for portal hypertension in cirrhosis," *Gastroenterology* vol. 50 (1966) pp. 684–91.

From Freedman, Pisani, and Purves (2007).

Table 4. A study of studies. Four therapies were evaluated both by randomized controlled trials and by trials using historical controls. Conclusions of trials were summarized as positive (+) about the value of the therapy, or negative (-).

Therapy	Rando conti	omized rolled	Historically controlled		
State March 199	+	- 22	+	-	
Coronary bypass surgery	1	7	16	5	
5-FU	0	5	2	0	
BCG	2	2	4	0	
DES	0	3	5	0	

Note: 5-FU is used in chemotherapy for colon cancer; BCG is used to treat melanoma; DES, to prevent miscarriage.

Source: H. Sacks, T. C. Chalmers, and H. Smith, "Randomized versus historical controls for clinical trials," *American Journal of Medicine* vol. 72 (1982) pp. 233–40.⁷

From Freedman, Pisani, and Purves (2007).

By putting known randomness into the world, we justify probability calculation *by design*.

An idea due to Fisher. Also known as "putting a rabbit into the hat". (Freedman)

Without randomization, probabilities are justified purely *by a model*.

Don't fall in love with a model.

From Box, J. S. Hunter, and W. G. Hunter (2005).

1. The need for randomized experiments

2. Design choices

- $\cdot\,$ Choosing the unit of randomization
- \cdot Choosing who to enroll
- · Choosing an outcome metric

3. Sequential experimentation

Choosing the unit of randomization

Pricing is a tricky thing to experiment on.



From keepa.com

Randomize by session?

- Randomize by session?
- Randomize by user?

- Randomize by session?
- Randomize by user?
- Randomize by product?

- Randomize by session?
- Randomize by user?
- Randomize by product?
- Randomize by product category?

- Randomize by session?
- Randomize by user?
- Randomize by product?
- Randomize by product category?
- Randomize by day?

- Randomize by session?
- Randomize by user?
- Randomize by product?
- Randomize by product category?
- Randomize by day?

The right unit of randomization is sometimes not obvious!

The unit of analysis should be the same as the unit of randomization.

Whatever your unit of randomization,

- compute one summary outcome per unit, and
- analyze results with these outcomes.
The unit of analysis should be the same as the unit of randomization.

Whatever your unit of randomization,

- compute one summary outcome per unit, and
- analyze results with these outcomes.

Sample size = number of randomized units!

Making the unit of analysis differ from the unit of randomization is dangerous.

Be wary of finer-grained analysis, e.g.,

- randomizing by city, analyzing by user.
- randomizing by category, analyzing by product.

It can be done, but requires delicate modeling assumptions.

Making the unit of analysis differ from the unit of randomization is dangerous.

Be wary of finer-grained analysis, e.g.,

- randomizing by city, analyzing by user.
- randomizing by category, analyzing by product.

It can be done, but requires delicate modeling assumptions.

An extreme example: imagine we have just two groups, say San Francisco and Los Angeles.

There are only two possible randomizations. The randomization implies only two possible outcomes.

Choosing who to enroll

The guarantee of a hypothesis test:

"If the treatment has no effect, the chance of false discovery is at most 5%."

The guarantee of a hypothesis test:

"If the treatment has no effect, the chance of false discovery is at most 5%."

What if the treatment *does* have an effect?

"If the treatment has at least a 20% lift, the chance of detecting it is at least 80%."

The guarantee of a hypothesis test:

"If the treatment has no effect, the chance of false discovery is at most 5%."

What if the treatment *does* have an effect?

"If the treatment has at least a 20% lift, the chance of detecting it is at least 80%."

How to guarantee the second statement? Sample size planning.

The guarantee of a hypothesis test:

"If the treatment has no effect, the chance of false discovery is at most 5%." **Type I error rate**

What if the treatment does have an effect?

Minimum planned-for effect

"If the treatment has at least a 20% lift, the chance of detecting it is at least 80%."

Power

How to guarantee the second statement? Sample size planning.

- Type I error rate: 5%
- Minimum planned-for effect: 20% lift
- Power: 80%

```
3840.847482436278
```

Say our variation increases conversion rate from 20% to 25%.

Say our variation increases conversion rate from 20% to 25%.

Bad idea: enroll everyone.

power_prop_test(p1=.20 \star .01, p2=.25 \star .01, power=.8) \rightarrow need 280,000 visitors.

Say our variation increases conversion rate from 20% to 25%.

Bad idea: enroll everyone.

power_prop_test(p1=.20 \star .01, p2=.25 \star .01, power=.8) \rightarrow need 280,000 visitors.

Good idea: enroll only those get to the checkout page.

power_prop_test(p1=.20, p2=.25, power=.8)
→ need 2,200 visitors at checkout

Say our variation increases conversion rate from 20% to 25%.

Bad idea: enroll everyone.

power_prop_test(p1=.20 \star .01, p2=.25 \star .01, power=.8) \rightarrow need 280,000 visitors.

Good idea: enroll only those get to the checkout page.

power_prop_test(p1=.20, p2=.25, power=.8)

ightarrow need 2,200 visitors at checkout

 \rightarrow need 220,000 visitors total (20% decrease in sample size)

Suppose treatment is expensive, and

- among all users at checkout, 20% complete the purchase;
- Among users with at least two items in their cart, 40% complete the purchase.

Suppose treatment is expensive, and

- among all users at checkout, 20% complete the purchase;
- Among users with at least two items in their cart, 40% complete the purchase.

Idea #1: enroll everyone.

power_prop_test(p1=.20, p2=.25, power=.8) \rightarrow need 2,200 visitors.

Suppose treatment is expensive, and

- among all users at checkout, 20% complete the purchase;
- Among users with at least two items in their cart, 40% complete the purchase.

Idea #1: enroll everyone.

power_prop_test(p1=.20, p2=.25, power=.8) \rightarrow need 2,200 visitors.

Idea #2: enroll only those with two items.

power_prop_test(p1=.40, p2=.50, power=.8)
→ need 770 visitors at checkout
(65% decrease in enrolled sample size)

Focusing on a subgroup may help internal validity, but hurt external validity.

Internal validity: are my conclusions valid for enrolled subjects?

Focusing on a subgroup may help internal validity, but hurt external validity.

Internal validity: are my conclusions valid for enrolled subjects?

External validity: do my conclusions generalize to other groups?

Focusing on a subgroup may help internal validity, but hurt external validity.

Internal validity: are my conclusions valid for enrolled subjects?

External validity: do my conclusions generalize to other groups?

Sometimes a difficult tradeoff.

Choosing an outcome metric

Imagine we're testing changes to a search ranking algorithm.

pytho	on power o	calculation				Ŷ	۹
All	Images	Videos	Shopping	News	More	Settings	Tools

About 742,000 results (0.35 seconds)

9.2. math – Mathematical functions – Python 2.7.15rc1 documentation https://docs.python.org/2/library/math.html +

Return the natural logarithm of 1+x (base e). The result is calculated in a way which is accurate for x near zero. New in version 2.6. math. log10 (x)4. Return the base-10 logarithm of x. This is usually more accurate than log(x, 10). math. pow (x, y)4. Return x raised to the power y. Exceptional cases follow Annex ¹⁷ of the ...

Experimental design—power analysis and its visualisation ... www.djmannion.net/psych_programming/data/power/power.html -

Experimental design—power analysis and its visualisation. Objectives. Be able to perform power calculations using computational simulation approaches. Know how to create and use line and image plots. Power relates to the ability to detect the presence of a true effect and is an important component of experimental...

r - Is there a python (scipy) function to determine parameters ... https://stackoverflow.com/.../is-there-a-python-scipy-function-to-determine-parameter... •

Mar 4, 2013 - I've managed to replicate the function using the below formula for n and the inverse survival function norm.isf from scipy.stats. enter image description here from scipy.stats import norm, zscore def sample_power_probtest(p1, p2, power=0.8, sig=0.05): z = norm.isf([sig/2]) #two-sided t test zp = 1...

statistics - How to calculate (statistical) power function	1 answer	Nov 15, 2017
math - 'Power of' in python	3 answers	Jan 5, 2016
Calculating power for Decimals in Python	4 answers	Jul 10, 2013
Python and Powers Math	3 answers	Aug 20, 2012
More results from stackoverflow.com		

python power calculation						م	
All	Images	Videos	Maps	News	Shop	i.	My saves
12.200	000 Results	Any time					

Calculating power for Decimals in Python - Stack Overflow https://stackoverflow.com/guestions/17567720/calculating-power-for... -

I want to calculate power for Decimal in Python like: from decimal import Decimal Decimal.power(2,2) Above should return me as Decimal('2) How can I calculate power

Code sample

>>> deci_x = Decimal(2)
>>> deci_x = Decimal(1)
>>> r24
>>> y = Decimal('10')**(x-deci_x+Decimal(str(n))-Decimal('1'))
>>> y...

See more on stackoverflow

Was this helpful? If all

Power calculation : Power « Math « Python www.java2s.com > Python > Math > Power *

Power calculation : Power « Math « Python, Python; Math; Power; Power calculation, print 2L ** 200 print 2 ** 200 Related examples in the same category, 1.

Python Program to Make a Simple Calculator

https://www.programiz.com/python-programming/examples/calculator -

In this example you will learn to create a simple calculator that can add, subtract, multiply or divide depending upon the input from the user.

numpy.power - NumPy v1.14 Manual - SciPy.org

We need a metric to run an experiment.

We need a metric to run an experiment.

We'd like to improve market share:

queries to our search engine
queries to all search engines

We need a metric to run an experiment.

We'd like to improve market share:

queries to our search engine
queries to all search engines

We can't measure the denominator. So just use the numerator?

Kohavi, Deng, et al. (2012): a buggy experiment showing very poor search results caused

- 10% lift in queries per user, and
- 30% lift in revenue per user!

What happened here?

Kohavi, Deng, et al. (2012): a buggy experiment showing very poor search results caused

- 10% lift in queries per user, and
- 30% lift in revenue per user!

What happened here?

- $\cdot \,$ Bad results \rightarrow issue more queries
- Bad organic results \rightarrow more clicks on ads



Queries _	Users	Sessions	Queries
Month	Month ^	User	Session

• # Users is fixed by design.

Queries _	Users	Sessions	Queries
Month	Month ×	User	Session

- # Users is fixed by design.
- Queries / Session is difficult to interpret.

Queries _	Users	Sessions	Queries
Month	Month	User	Session

- # Users is fixed by design.
- \cdot Sessions / User seems the best metric.
- Queries / Session is difficult to interpret.

My suggestion for multiple outcome metrics:

- **Pick one primary metric**—a "key performance indicator" or KPI.
- If the others are important, correct for multiple testing.
- Otherwise, look at them, but educate yourself and others about multiplicity.

1. The need for randomized experiments

2. Design issues

3. Sequential experimentation

- A lesson about random walks
- · Repeated looks inflate error
- · Simulation-based sequential p-values

A lesson about random walks

Every day for one year, I flip a fair coin.

- $\cdot\,$ Heads \rightarrow you pay me \$1.
- \cdot Tails \rightarrow I pay you \$1.

Every day for one year, I flip a fair coin.

- Heads ightarrow you pay me \$1.
- Tails \rightarrow I pay you \$1.

What's the chance that, after the first eight days, one of us stays in the lead the *entire rest of the year*?

- (a) One in 10,000
- (b) One in 1,000
- (c) One in 100
- (d) One in 10

Every day for one year, I flip a fair coin.

- Heads ightarrow you pay me \$1.
- Tails \rightarrow I pay you \$1.

What's the chance that, after the first eight days, one of us stays in the lead the *entire rest of the year*?

(a) One in 10,000
(b) One in 1,000
(c) One in 100
(d) One in 10
One random walk path



Random walks with long leads



10 / 100 walks have one leader for the last 357 / 365 days.

Random walks with a dominant leader



15 / 100 walks have one player ahead any 357 / 365 days.

A highly uneven outcome is the norm, not the exception.



(These are called arcsine laws.)

In a random walk, outcomes at different times are *highly correlated*.

Our usual notions about long-run behavior don't apply.

These examples are based on Feller (1971, §III.4).

Repeated looks inflate error

Sequential monitoring of A/B tests is desirable but problematic.

Click on the button

The percentage of visitors who clicked on a tracked element.

Variation #3 is beating Original by +58.0%.

VARIATIONS	VISITORS	CONVERSIONS	CONVERSION RATE	IMPROVEMENT	CHANCE TO BEAT BASELINE
Variation #3	970	32	3.3% (±1.12%)	+58.0%	95.2%
Original BASELINE	1,006	21	2.1% (±0.88%)		1
Variation #1	999	11	1.1% (±0.65%)	-47.3%	3.9%
Variation #2	1,027	11	1.1% (±0.63%)	-48.7%	3.3%



From https://crozdesk.com/analytics-intelligence/a-b-testing-software/optimizely

ТНТ

ТНТННТННН

ТНТННТНННТННТТНТНТННННТНН...

ТНТННТНННТННТТНТНТННННТНН...

Is 15 / 24 heads surprising?

ТНТННТНННТННТТНТНТННННТНН...

Is 15 / 24 heads surprising? This is what p-values are for.

p-values control the chance of false discovery

The guarantee of a hypothesis test:

"If the treatment has no effect, the chance of false discovery is at most 5%."

p-values control the chance of false discovery

The guarantee of a hypothesis test:

"If the treatment has no effect, the chance of false discovery is at most 5%."

The key property of p-values: if the treatment has no effect, $\mathbb{P}(\text{p-value} \leq 0.05) \leq 0.05.$

p-values control the chance of false discovery

The guarantee of a hypothesis test:

"If the treatment has no effect, the chance of false discovery is at most 5%."

The key property of p-values: if the treatment has no effect, $\mathbb{P}(p\text{-value} \le 0.05) \le 0.05.$

Declare a discovery when p-value \leq 0.05 \rightarrow chance of false discovery is at most 5%.

One path of p-values from a fair coin.



With no bias, we only rarely conclude the coin is biased.



Continuous monitoring of fixed-sample p-values breaks the guarantee.



Here, with a fair coin, 35% of paths reach significance.

For a fair coin, chance of false discovery grows arbitrarily large with enough flips.



40

Sequential monitoring happens all over the place.

- In A/B testing.
- In clinical trials.
- In lab experments.
- ...

Simulation-based sequential p-values

Reminder: fixed-sample p-values by simulation

Standard p-value to test whether a coin is fair:

- Flip the coin 1,000 times.
- Compute $t_{1000}^{obs} = \#$ heads # tails after 1,000 flips.
- Simulate T₁₀₀₀ many times and estimate

$$p$$
-value = $\mathbb{P}(|T_{10,000}| \ge t_{10,000}^{obs})$.



Reminder: fixed-sample p-values by simulation

Standard p-value to test whether a coin is fair:

- Flip the coin 1,000 times.
- Compute $t_{1000}^{obs} = \#$ heads # tails after 1,000 flips.
- Simulate T₁₀₀₀ many times and estimate

$$p$$
-value = $\mathbb{P}(|T_{10,000}| \ge t_{10,000}^{obs})$.



Example: $t_{1000}^{\text{obs}} = 70 \rightarrow p \approx 0.029$.

Now we have a sequence of test statistics.

Now say we want to compute a p-value after every 100 flips.

We need to consider the sequence of test statistics

 $T_{100}, T_{200}, \ldots, T_{900}, T_{1000}.$

Now we have a sequence of test statistics.

Now say we want to compute a p-value after every 100 flips.

We need to consider the sequence of test statistics

 $T_{100}, T_{200}, \ldots, T_{900}, T_{1000}.$

For a fair coin, T_n is a sum of n i.i.d. random variables, each taking values ± 1 with probability 1/2 each.

We need to consider the sequence of test statistics

 $T_{100}, T_{200}, \ldots, T_{900}, T_{1000}.$

For a fair coin, T_n is a sum of n i.i.d. random variables, each taking values ± 1 with probability 1/2 each.

The variance of this ± 1 random variable is one.

We need to consider the sequence of test statistics

 $T_{100}, T_{200}, \ldots, T_{900}, T_{1000}.$

For a fair coin, T_n is a sum of n i.i.d. random variables, each taking values ± 1 with probability 1/2 each.

The variance of this ± 1 random variable is one. \Rightarrow The variance of T_n is n (standard deviation \sqrt{n}).

We need to consider the sequence of test statistics

 $T_{100}, T_{200}, \ldots, T_{900}, T_{1000}.$

For a fair coin, T_n is a sum of n i.i.d. random variables, each taking values ± 1 with probability 1/2 each.

The variance of this ± 1 random variable is one. \Rightarrow The variance of T_n is n (standard deviation \sqrt{n}). \Rightarrow The variance of T_n/\sqrt{n} is 1.



Number of flips, n



Number of flips, n

We'll use a maximal test statistic to compute sequential p-values.

Now we'll simulate the test statistic

$$T_{1000}^{\star} = \max\left\{\frac{T_{100}}{\sqrt{100}}, \frac{T_{200}}{\sqrt{200}}, \dots, \frac{T_{900}}{\sqrt{900}}, \frac{T_{1000}}{\sqrt{1000}}\right\}.$$

We'll use a maximal test statistic to compute sequential p-values.

Now we'll simulate the test statistic

$$T_{1000}^{\star} = \max\left\{\frac{I_{100}}{\sqrt{100}}, \frac{I_{200}}{\sqrt{200}}, \dots, \frac{I_{900}}{\sqrt{900}}, \frac{I_{1000}}{\sqrt{1000}}\right\}$$

Our sequential procedure:

• After every 100 flips, compute

$$t_n^{\text{obs}} = \frac{\text{\# heads - \# tails after } n \text{ flips}}{\sqrt{n}}$$
$$t_n^{\star} = \max\left\{t_{100}^{\text{obs}}, t_{200}^{\text{obs}}, \dots, t_n^{\text{obs}}\right\}.$$

• Simulate T^{\star}_{1000} many times and estimate

$$p\text{-value} = \mathbb{P}(|T^{\star}_{1000}| \geq t^{\star}_n).$$

Example: $t^{\star}_{1000} = 70/\sqrt{1000} \rightarrow p \approx 0.054$.

(Compare to $p \approx 0.029$ earlier.)



We can look at these p-values repeatedly.

Now we can compute a p-value after every 100 flips, stop as soon as $p \le 0.05$, and still have the guarantee

 $\mathbb{P}(any \text{ p-value} \leq 0.05) \leq 0.05$

if the coin is fair.

We can look at these p-values repeatedly.

Now we can compute a p-value after every 100 flips, stop as soon as $p \le 0.05$, and still have the guarantee

 $\mathbb{P}(any \text{ p-value} \leq 0.05) \leq 0.05$

if the coin is fair.

If the coin is biased, we have a chance to stop early.
We can look at these p-values repeatedly.

Now we can compute a p-value after every 100 flips, stop as soon as $p \le 0.05$, and still have the guarantee

 $\mathbb{P}(any \text{ p-value} \leq 0.05) \leq 0.05$

if the coin is fair.

If the coin is biased, we have a chance to stop early.

Remember:

- We must choose the maximum sample size in advance (here, 1,000).
- We can only look as often as we do in the simulation (here, every 100 flips).

- 1. Randomized assignment
 - protects from bias, and
 - justifies probability calculations.

Recap

- 1. Randomized assignment
 - protects from bias, and
 - justifies probability calculations.
- 2. Before running an experiment, carefully choose
 - the unit of randomization (and analysis!),
 - \cdot the enrolled population, and
 - the outcome metric.

- 1. Randomized assignment
 - protects from bias, and
 - justifies probability calculations.
- 2. Before running an experiment, carefully choose
 - the unit of randomization (and analysis!),
 - the enrolled population, and
 - \cdot the outcome metric.

3. If you want to monitor sequentially, use sequential methods!

- Box, G. E. P., J. S. Hunter, and W. G. Hunter (2005). *Statistics for experimenters: design, innovation, and discovery.* Wiley-Interscience.
- Feller, W. (1971). An introduction to probability theory and its applications. 3rd. Wiley.
- Freedman, D., R. Pisani, and R. Purves (2007). *Statistics*. W.W. Norton & Company.
- Kohavi, R., A. Deng, B. Frasca, R. Longbotham, T. Walker, and Y. Xu (2012). "Trustworthy online controlled experiments: Five puzzling outcomes explained". In: *Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. ACM, pp. 786–794.
- Kohavi, R., R. Longbotham, D. Sommerfield, and R. M. Henne (2009). "Controlled experiments on the web: survey and practical guide". Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery 18 (1), pp. 140–181.
- Kohavi, R. and S. H. Thomke (2017). "The Surprising Power of Online Experiments". *Harvard Business Review* 95 (5), pp. 74–82.

Thank you.